arXiv:math/0406232v1 [math.NT] 11 Jun 2004

On the notion of p-old Siegel modular forms

Arash Rastegar

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We introduce a higher dimensional Atkin-Lehner theory for Siegel-parahoric and Borel congruence subgroups of $GSp(2g)$. Old Siegel forms are induced by geometric correspondences on Siegel moduli spaces which commute with almost all local Hecke algebras. Any satisfactory local definition of p-old Siegel forms which guarantees that, any new eigenform of almost all local Hecke algebras is an eigenform of all local Hecke algebras prime to the level, will imply that our correspondences introduce a geometric formulation for the notion of p-old Siegel forms inside all Siegel forms of Siegel parahoric level pn. We also prove an injection result for Siegel modular forms in finite characteristics.

Introduction

Classicial Atkin-Lehner theory for $GL(2)$ has two conceptual ingredients: Casselman's theory of local new-forms for $GL(2)$ [Cas], together with strong multiplicity one for cuspidal automorphic representations of $GL(2)$. The first ingredient is generalized to $GSp(4)$-case by R. Schmidt for square-free level [Sch]. But, at the moment there is no general local theory of new-forms is available for $GSp(2g)$. Multiplicity one fails to hold in higher $GSp(2g)$'s. Therefore, we regard all Siegel forms with the same Hecke eigenvalues as old Siegel-forms to be old forms again. This is what we have to do anyway, if we are interested in getting congruences between old and new forms. Despite this loss, Atkin-Lehner geometric theory can be generalized to Siegel-parahoric congruence subgroups of $GSp(2g)$.

In the first part of this paper, we use elements of the Weyl group of $GSp(2g)$ to construct new congruence subgroups sandwiched between the Siegel-parahoric congruence group

$$\Gamma^P(n) = \{\gamma \in Sp(2g,\mathbb{Z}) | \gamma \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}, (\text{mod } n)\}$$

and the following congruence group

$$\Gamma^T(n) = \{\gamma \in Sp(2g,\mathbb{Z}) | \gamma \equiv diag(*, ..., *), (\text{mod } n)\}.$$

These groups are all defined in terms of the mod-n reduction of elements in $Sp(2g,\mathbb{Z})$.

In the second part of the paper, we use these congruence subgroups to introduce geometric correspondences on Siegel moduli spaces with explicit moduli interpretations. This allows one to extend them to a model over $\mathbb{Z}$ with some primes inverted. These correspondences induce an injection of a number of copies of Siegel modular forms with n-level structure inside the space of Siegel modular forms of Siegel-parahoric level pn. Since our correspondences commute with all q-Hecke correspondences for $(q, pn) = 1$, any satisfactory local definition of p-old Siegel forms will imply that our correspondences introduce a higher dimensional Atkin-Lehner theory for p-old Siegel forms of Siegel parahoric level pn. In a satisfactory local theory of new-forms any new eigenform of almost all local Hecke algebras should be an eigenform of all local Hecke algebras prime to the level. Also, eigenforms with eigenvalues repeated in lover levels should be considered as old-forms.

In the final part, we prove an Ihara-type result by getting an injection result in positive characteristic in the case of Siegel spaces of genus ≥ 2. Then using Ihara-type results, we get congruences between old-forms and new-forms.

Notations

The Chevalley group scheme $GSp(2g)$ is defined as the set of matrices $g \in GL(2g)$ with ${}^t gJg = \lambda(g)J$ where $\lambda(g) \in GL(1)$ and $J = antidiag(I_g, -I_g)$ where I_g denotes the $g \times g$ identity matrix. The representation λ is called the multiplier representation. Similar to above, we also use two by two matrices whose entries are $g \times g$ matrices to represent elements of $GSp(2g)$. The symplectic group scheme $Sp(2g)$ is defined to be the kernel of the multiplier representation, which is the space of transformations on the symplectic space $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ with its standard alternating form:

$$<,>: \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \times \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \to \mathbb{Z} \qquad\qquad < (u, v), (z, w) > \mapsto u.{}^t w - v.{}^t z$$

Let $T \cong G_m^{g+1}$ denote the maximal torus in $GSp(2g)$. An element of T is a diagonal matrix $diag(a_1, ..., a_g, d_1, ..., d_g)$ with $a_i d_i$ equal to the multiplier. Let M denote the subgroup of $GSp(2g)$ which respects the standard decomposition $\mathbb{Z}^{2g} \cong \mathbb{Z}^g \oplus \mathbb{Z}^g$. The subgroup M consists of diagonal elements in $GSp(2g)$ in their two by two representation. These elements are of the form $diag(A, D)$ with $A.{}^t D = \lambda I_g$. The subgroup of $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ which fixes only the first direct summand $\mathbb{Z}^g \subset \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ is denoted by U which is the space of all $\mathbb{Z}$-valued bilinear symmetric forms. Elements of U are upper-triangular with I_g on diagonal entries and a symmetric matrix B on the upper right corner. The subgroup $P = M \ltimes U$ is a maximal parabolic subgroup whose elements are zero in the lower left $g \times g$ corner. This parabolic subgroup is also called the Siegel-parahoric subgroup. Fix the Borel subgroup contained in P consisting of the matrices with $A, B, 0, D$ entries with A upper-triangular and D lower-triangular. Weyl groups of $GSp(2g)$ and P with respect to the maximal torus T are denoted by W_G and W_P respectively. $W_G \cong S_g \ltimes (\pm 1)^g$ and $W_P \cong S_g$ act on diagonal matrices $diag(a_1, ..., a_g, d_1, ..., d_g)$ by permutation or exchange of the a_i's and the d_i's.

1 Congruence subgroups of $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$

A discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset Sp(2g, \mathbb{Q})$ is called a congruence group, if it contains $\Gamma^{id}(n)$ for some positive integer n, where $\Gamma^{id}(n)$ is the kernel of reduction map modulo n on $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$:

$$\Gamma^{id}(n) = \{\gamma \in Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}) | \gamma \equiv id \in Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \pmod{n}\}.$$

$\Gamma^{P}(n)$ and $\Gamma^{T}(n)$ are examples of congruence groups. The significance of congruence groups is that they carry arithmetic information. In this paper, we are only interested in congruence subgroups of $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$.

1.1 Parabolic congruence subgroups

By the theory of Tits systems [Hum], every parabolic subgroup of $GSp(2g)$ is conjugate to a "standard" parabolic subgroup which contains B. Each standard parabolic subgroup P_I corresponds to one of the 2^{g+1} subsets $I \subset S$ where S is a minimal generating set for the Weyl group W_G consisting of involutions ρ_i which are elements of order 2. S can be taken as the set of simple reflections corresponding to the base of the root system determined by B. In fact, $P_I = BW_IB$ where $W_I \subsetneq W_G$ is the subgroup generated by elements in I. In particular, $P_\emptyset = B$ and $P_S = GSp(2g)$.

By Bruhat decomposition theorem, $GSp(2g) = \cup B\sigma B$ is a decomposition to disjoint subsets where σ runs in the Weyl group W_G. Two such double cosets coincide if and only if the middle Weyl elements coincide. One can assume that axioms of Tits systems are satisfied. Namely,

(T1) For $\rho \in S$ and $\sigma \in W_G$ we have $\rho B \sigma \subset B\sigma B \cup B\rho\sigma B$.

(T2) For $\rho \in S$ we have $\rho B \rho \neq B$.

An expression $\sigma = \rho_1 ... \rho_k$ with $\rho_i \in S$ is called reduced if k is as small as possible. the minimal length k of a reduced expression is denoted by $\ell(\sigma)$. By convention, $\ell(\sigma) = 0$ if and only if $\sigma = id$ and $\ell(\sigma) = 1$ if and only if $\sigma \in S$. Tits axioms imply that for $\rho \in S$ we have $\ell(\rho\sigma) = \ell(\sigma) \pm 1$. This implies that for the reduced form $\sigma = \rho_1 ... \rho_k$ and $I = \{\rho_1, ..., \rho_k\}$, the parabolic subgroup P_I is generated B and $\sigma B \sigma^{-1}$ or by B and σ. Given a choice of a Borel subgroup S is precisely the set of elements in W_G such that $B \cup B\sigma B$ is a group. P_I is conjugate to P_J implies that $P_I = P_J$ and $P_I \subset P_J$ implies that $I \subset J$.

We define a parabolic congruence subgroup $\Gamma^{P_I}(n) \subset Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ to be the set of elements which reduce to P_I modulo n:

$$\Gamma^{P_I}(n) = \{\gamma \in Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}) | \gamma \in P_I \subset GSp(2g, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \pmod{n}\}.$$

1.2 A nested family of congruence subgroups

Fix a generating set S for W_G consisting of $g-1$ pairs in $S_g \subset W_g$ and a nonzero element in $(\pm 1)^g$. Now, there exists a generating set $w_1, ..., w_g$ for the weyl group such that w_i have increasing length if represented in reduced form in term of involutions in S.

Assume that $w_{k+1} = \rho_k w_k$for all k where ρ_k is an involution. Also assume w_1,...,w_{g-1} generate S_g. We have $\Gamma^{P_{I_{g-1}}}(n) = \Gamma^P(n)$ where P is the maximal parabolic we fixed in notations. The fact that B together with $I_k = \{w_1, ..., w_k\}$ can not generate any of w_{k+1},...,w_g implies that each P_{I_k} has exactly $\frac{g!}{(k+1)!}2^g$ conjugates of the form $\sigma P_{I_k}\sigma^{-1}$ for σ in W_G. To these we associate $\frac{g!}{(k+1)!}2^g$ parabolic congruence subgroups of the form $\sigma\Gamma^{P_{I_k}}(n)\sigma^{-1}$ for σ in W_G. In particular, $\Gamma^B(n)$ has $g!2^g$ conjugates in $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ and each $\Gamma^{P_{I_k}}(n)$ has $k+2$ conjugates in $\Gamma^{P_{I_{k+1}}}(n)$.

We have made a nested family of parabolic congruence groups contained in the maximal parabolic congruence group $\Gamma^P(n)$ consisting of levels 0 to $g-1$. The k-th level is formed by $\frac{g!}{(k+1)!}2^g$ congruence groups. Each group in level k contains $k+1$ groups in level $k-1$ for $k = 1$ to $g-1$. There are 2^g congruence groups in level $g-1$ and the congruence groups in level 0 are the $g!2^g$ conjugates by elements in W_g of $\Gamma^B(p)$ which are lying inside $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$.

2 Higher Atkin-Lehner theory

The Atkin-Lehner involution can be easily generalized from $GL(2)$ to the higher dimensional case $GSp(2g)$. This generalization involves Siegel-parahoric and Borel congruence groups. On the other hand, local considerations show that p-old Siegel modular forms with respect to the Siegel-parahoric or Borel congruence groups of level pn contain several copies of Siegel forms of level n. This implies that a single Atkin-Lehner involution would not do the job of geometrically generating the p-old part. In this section we intend to introduce geometric correspondences which complement the role of Atkin-Lehner involution.

2.1 Siegel moduli spaces

A general reference for the arithmetic of Siegel moduli spaces is [Fal-Cha]. Let A_g denote the moduli stack of principally polarized abelian schemes of relative dimension g. By a symplectic principal level-n structure, we mean a symplectic isomorphism $\alpha : A[n] \to (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$, where $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ is equipped with the standard non-degenerate skew-symmetric pairing.

Let ζ_n denote an n-th root of unity for $n \geq 3$. The moduli scheme classifying the principally polarized abelian schemes over $Spec(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n, 1/n])$ together with a symplectic principal level-n structure is a scheme over $Spec(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n, 1/n])$ and will be denoted by $\mathcal{A}_g(n)$. The symplectic group $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\mathcal{A}_g(n)$ as a group of symmetries by acting on level structures. We will recognize these moduli spaces and their etale quotients under the action of subgroups of $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ as Siegel spaces.

A $\Gamma^P(n)$-level structure of type I on (A, λ) is choice of a subgroup $H \subset A[n]$ of order n^g which is totally isotropic with respect to the Weil pairing induced by λ. A $\Gamma^P(n)$-level structure of type II on (A, λ) is choice of a principally polarized isogeny $(A_1, \lambda_1) \to (A_2, \lambda_2)$ of degree n^g. By a principally polarized isogeny, we mean an isogeny $\sigma : A_1 \to A_2$ such that $\sigma \circ \lambda_2 \circ \sigma^t \circ \lambda_1^{-1}$ is multiplication by an integer. For $n \geq 3$ type I and type II $\Gamma^P(n)$-level structures induce isomorphic moduli schemes over $Spec(\mathbb{Z}[1/n])$ [DJ]. We denote this moduli scheme by $\mathcal{A}_g^P(n)$. There exists a natural involution

$$w_n^P : \mathcal{A}_g^P(n) \to \mathcal{A}_g^P(n)$$

taking $(\sigma : (A_1, \lambda_1) \to (A_2, \lambda_2))$ to $(\sigma^t : (A_2, (\lambda_2^t)^{-1}) \to (A_1, (\lambda_1^t)^{-1}))$ which we call the Atkin-Lehner involution.

A $\Gamma^B(n)$-level structure of type I on (A, λ) is choice of g subgroups $H_i \subset A[n]$ of order n^i with $H_1 \subset ... \subset H_g$ where H_g is totally isotropic. A $\Gamma^B(n)$-level structure of type II on (A, λ) is choice of a chain of g isogenies $(A_0, \lambda_0) \xrightarrow{\alpha} ... \xrightarrow{\alpha} (A_g, \lambda_g)$ each of degree n which satisfy $n.id_{A_i} = \alpha^i \circ \lambda_0^{-1} \circ (\alpha^t)^g \circ \lambda_g \circ \alpha^{g-i}$ for all $i = 1, ..., g$. In case $n \geq 3$ type I and type II $\Gamma^B(n)$-level structures induce isomorphic moduli schemes over $Spec(\mathbb{Z}[1/n])$ [DJ]. We denote this moduli scheme by $\mathcal{A}_g^B(n)$. There also exists a natural involution

$$w_n^B : \mathcal{A}_g^B(n) \to \mathcal{A}_g^B(n)$$

taking $((A_0, \lambda_0) \xrightarrow{\alpha} ... \xrightarrow{\alpha} (A_g, \lambda_g))$ to $((A_g, (\lambda_g^t)^{-1}) \xrightarrow{\alpha^t} ... \xrightarrow{\alpha^t} (A_0, (\lambda_0^t)^{-1}))$ which commutes with the Atkin-Lehner involution under the natural projection between the Siegel spaces.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{A}_g^B(n) \arrow[r, "w_n^B"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{A}_g^B(n) \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{A}_g^P(n) \arrow[r, "w_n^P"] & \mathcal{A}_g^P(n)
\end{tikzcd}$$

A $\Gamma^T(n)$-level structure on (A, λ) is choice of $2g$ subgroups $H_i \subset A[n]$ for $i = 1$ to $2g$, each isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ such that $H_1 \oplus ... \oplus H_g$ and $H_{g+1} \oplus ... \oplus H_{2g}$ are totally isotropic subgroups of order n^g which do not intersect with $H_i \oplus H_{g+i}$ hyperbolic for $i = 1$ to g. For A and A' abelian schemes over the schemes S and S' respectively, we define a morphism from $(S, A, \lambda, H_1, ..., H_{2g})$ to $(S', A', \lambda', H_1', ..., H_{2g}')$ to be a pair of morphisms (f, g) where $f : S \to S'$ and $g : A \to A'$ satisfy $g^*(\lambda') = \lambda$ and $g(H_i) = H_i'$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 2g$. Also we want the pair (f, g) to induce an isomorphism $A \simeq S \times_{S'} A'$. Having these morphisms defined, we have formed a category $\mathbf{A}_g^T(n)$. The functor $\pi : \mathbf{A}_g^T(n) \to Sch$ defined by $\pi(S, A, \lambda, H_1, ..., H_{2g}) = S$ makes $\mathbf{A}_g^T(n)$ into a stack in groupoids over S. The 1-morphism of stacks $\pi' : \mathbf{A}_g^T(n) \to \mathbf{A}_g$ defined by $\pi'(S, A, \lambda, H_1, ..., H_{2g}) = (S, A, \lambda)$ is representable and is a proper surjective morphism. For $n \geq 3$ we get a separated scheme of finite type $A_g^T(n)$ which is smooth over $Spec(\mathbb{Z}[1/n])$.

Let $\mathbb{H}_g$ denote the Siegel upper half-space, which consists of the set of complex symmetric $g \times g$ matrices Ω with $\Im(\Omega)$ positive definite. As a complex analytic stack $\mathcal{A}_{g/\mathbb{C}}$ is the quotient of Siegel upper half-space $\mathbb{H}_g$ by the action of $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ via Möbius transformations. The family of principally polarized abelian varieties over $\mathbb{H}_g$ is given by $A(\Omega) = \mathbb{C}^g / (\mathbb{Z}^g \oplus \Omega.\mathbb{Z}^g)$. To any congruence subgroup $\Gamma \subset Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ one can associate the quotient $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}_g$ which is a Siegel moduli-space with some extra level structure. The corresponding level structure can be made explicit. Indeed, $\Gamma^{id}(n)\backslash\mathbb{H}_g$ corresponds to $\mathcal{A}_g(n)_{/\mathbb{C}}$ whose quotient under the action of the symplectic group $Sp(2g,\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ is $\mathcal{A}_{g/\mathbb{C}}$. Any congruence subgroup $\Gamma^{id}(n)\subset\Gamma\subset Sp(2g,\mathbb{Z})$ corresponds to the quotient of $\mathcal{A}_g(n)_{/\mathbb{C}}$ under the action of the finite group $\Gamma/\Gamma^{id}(n)$. This helps to associate explicit level structures to the space $\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}_g$ which makes it a moduli space.

2.2 The $\Gamma^{P_I}(p)$-level structure

Let p be a prime not dividing the positive integer n and let $\mathcal{A}_g^T(p)_{/\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_g^{T,P}(p,n)_{/\mathbb{C}}$ denote the Siegel spaces associated to congruence groups $\Gamma^T(p)$ and $\Gamma^{T,P}(p,n)=\Gamma^T(p)\cap\Gamma^P(n)$, respectively. The group $\Gamma^T(p)$ remains invariant under conjugation by elements in $(\pm 1)^g\subset W_g$. So $(\pm 1)^g$ acts on $\mathcal{A}_g^T(p)_{/\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_g^{T,P}(p,n)_{/\mathbb{C}}$ by 2^g involutions. Let $\mathcal{A}_g^{P_{I_k}}(p)_{/\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_g^{P_{I_k},P}(p,n)_{/\mathbb{C}}$ denote the Siegel spaces associated to the congruence groups $\Gamma^{P_{I_k}}(p)$ and $\Gamma^{P_{I_k},P}(p,n)=\Gamma^{P_{I_k}}(p)\cap\Gamma^P(n)$ respectively. We have a chain of etale maps

$$\mathcal{A}_g^{B,P}(p,n)\to\ldots\to\mathcal{A}_g^{P_{I_k},P}(p,n)\to\mathcal{A}_g^{P_{I_{k+1}},P}(p,n)\to\ldots\to\mathcal{A}_g^P(pn).$$

Since each congruence group $\Gamma^{P_{I_{k+1}}}(p)$ on the $(k+1)$-th level contains $k+2$ conjugates (by Weyl elements) of $\Gamma^{P_{I_k}}(p)$ on the k-th level, we expect that for each k we get $k+2$ copies of forms on $\mathcal{A}_g^{P_{I_{k+1}},P}(p,n)$ injecting in forms on $\mathcal{A}_g^{P_{I_k},P}(p,n)$. We will use the geometry of $\mathcal{A}_g^{B,P}(p,n)$ to give a geometric construction of these $k+2$ copies.

In order to simplify the notations, let us forget the $\Gamma^P(n)$-level structure which is auxiliary. We get a chain of etale maps

$$\mathcal{A}_g^B(p)\to\ldots\to\mathcal{A}_g^{P_{I_k}}(p)\to\mathcal{A}_g^{P_{I_{k+1}}}(p)\to\ldots\to\mathcal{A}_g^P(p)$$

which corresponds to a chain of congruence groups

$$\Gamma^B(p)\hookrightarrow\ldots\hookrightarrow\Gamma^{P_{I_k}}(p)\hookrightarrow\Gamma^{P_{I_{k+1}}}(p)\hookrightarrow\ldots\hookrightarrow\Gamma^P(p).$$

Each $\Gamma^{P_{I_k}}(p)$ maps to $\Gamma^{P_{I_{k+1}}}(p)$ by $k+1$ maps: natural inclusion and conjugation by representatives $\sigma_{k+1}\in W_k\backslash W_{k+1}$ followed by inclusion, where W_k is the subgroup of the Weyl group generated by $w_1,\ldots,w_k$. Inclusion induces the natural projection map $\pi_{P_{I_k},P_{I_{k+1}}}:\mathcal{A}_g^{P_{I_k}}(p)\to\mathcal{A}_g^{P_{I_{k+1}}}(p)$. Conjugation by σ_{k+1} induces an inclusion

$$\sigma_{k+1}\Gamma^{P_{I_k}}(p)\sigma_{k+1}^{-1}=\Gamma^{\sigma_{k+1}P_{I_k}\sigma_{k+1}^{-1}}(p)\hookrightarrow\Gamma^{P_{I_{k+1}}}(p).$$

This inclusion corresponds to another projection from a different moduli-space

$$\mathcal{A}_g^{\sigma_{k+1}P_{I_k}\sigma_{k+1}^{-1}}(p)\to\mathcal{A}_g^{P_{I_{k+1}}}(p).$$

Conjugation by σ_{k+1} identifies $\mathcal{A}_g^{P_{I_k}}(p)$ with $\mathcal{A}_g^{\sigma_{k+1}P_{I_k}\sigma_{k+1}^{-1}}(p)$. The moduli-space $\mathcal{A}_g^T(p)$ is the appropriate moduli space to geometrically realize all the endomorphisms

$$v_p^\sigma : \mathcal{A}_g^T(p) \to \mathcal{A}_g^T(p)$$

induced by conjugation via elements σ in the Weyl group W_G. In fact, the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{A}_g^T(p) \arrow[r, "v_p^\sigma"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{A}_g^T(p) \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{A}_g^{P_I}(p) \arrow[r, "\sigma."] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{A}_g^{\sigma P_I \sigma^{-1}}(p) \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{A}_g^{P_J}(p) \arrow[r, "\sigma."] & \mathcal{A}_g^{\sigma P_J \sigma^{-1}}(p)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Definition *We say that two points x and y on $\mathcal{A}_g^{P_I,P}(p,n)$ are σ-connected, for an element σ in the Weyl group, if there exists a chain of points $x = x_1, ..., x_t = y$ on $\mathcal{A}_g^{P_I,P}(p,n)$ such that for each i there are points x'_i and x'_{i+1} on $\mathcal{A}_g^{T,P}(p,n)$ mapping to x_i and x_{i+1} respectively, with $x_{i+1} = v_p^\sigma(x_i)$ where*

$$v_p^\sigma : \mathcal{A}_g^{T,P}(p,n) \to \mathcal{A}_g^{T,P}(p,n)$$

is the endomorphism induced by the action of σ on p-level structure.

Proposition 2.1 *Every fiber of the map $\pi_k : \mathcal{A}_g^{P_{I_k},P}(p,n) \to \mathcal{A}_g^{P_{I_{k+1}},P}(p,n)$ is an equivalence class of ρ_{k+1}-connected points.*

Proof. The n-level structure is auxiliary. Let x be a point on the Siegel upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}_g$ and let $[x]^T$ denote the equivalence class containing x defined by left quotient of the Siegel upper space by $\Gamma^T(p)$. We have $\sigma.[y]^T = [\sigma.y]^T$. The group $\Gamma^{P_{I_{k+1}}}(p)$ is generated $\Gamma^{P_{I_k}}(p)$ and ρ_{k+1}. So every element in $\Gamma^{P_{I_{k+1}}}(p)$ can be written as a product of elements of the form $\gamma_i\rho_{k+1}$ with $\gamma_i \in \Gamma^{P_{I_k}}(p)$. Define the equivalence class $[x]^{P_{I_k}}$ similarly. The classes $[x]^{P_{I_k}}$ and $[\gamma\rho_{k+1}.x]^{P_{I_k}}$ are ρ_{k+1}-connected. So the equivalence class $[x]^{P_{I_{k+1}}}$ is obtained by joining the ρ_{k+1}-connected points.$\square$

Definition *For a subset $W \subset W_g$, we say that two points x and y on $\mathcal{A}_g^{P_I,P}(p,n)$ are W-connected, if there exists a chain of points $x = x_1, ..., x_t = y$ on $\mathcal{A}_g^{P_I,P}(p,n)$, such that for each i, x_i and x_{i+1} are σ-connected for some $\sigma \in W$.*

Proposition 2.2 *Every fiber of the map $\mathcal{A}_g^{B,P}(p,n) \to \mathcal{A}_g^{P_{I_k},P}(p,n)$ is an equivalence class of W_k-connected points.*

Proposition 2.3 *Every fiber of the map $\mathcal{A}_g^P(pn) \to \mathcal{A}_g^P(n)$ is an equivalence class of σ-connected points for some nonzero representative σ of $W_P\backslash W_G$.*

Proof. The Bruhat-Tits decomposition modulo p implies that the congruence groups $\Gamma^P(p)$ and $\sigma\Gamma^P(p)\sigma^{-1}$ generate $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$. This is a consequence of the fact that any two conjugates of a maximal parabolic subgroup over $\mathbb{F}_p$ generate the whole algebraic group $GSp(2g, \mathbb{F}_p)$. $\square$

2.3 p-old Siegel modular forms on $\mathcal{A}_g^P(p)$

Let $\mathcal{B}_g^P(n)$ denote the universal abelian variety over $\mathcal{A}_g^P(n)$. The Hodge bundle ω is defined to be the pull back via the zero section $i_0 : \mathcal{A}_g^P(n) \to \mathcal{B}_g^P(n)$ of the line bundle $\wedge^{top}\Omega^1_{\mathcal{B}_g(n)/\mathcal{A}_g(n)}$. The Hodge bundle is an ample invertible sheaf on $\mathcal{A}_g^P(n)$. Let R be a $\mathbb{Z}[1/n]$-module. By a $\Gamma^P(n)$-Siegel modular form of weight k with coefficients in R, we mean an element in $H^0(\mathcal{A}_g^P(n)/R, \omega^{\otimes k}/R)$. The same notation is used for other congruence subgroups, but in this paper we focus on Siegel modular forms with respect to Siegel parahoric congruence subgroup P and Borel congruence subgroup B.

If we pull back the Hodge bundle ω to the Siegel upper half-space, the pull back is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{H}_g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \wedge^g(\mathbb{C}^g)$. A complex modular form of weight k becomes an expression of the form $f(\Omega).(dz_1 \wedge ... \wedge dz_g)^{\otimes k}$ where f is an $\Gamma^P(n)$-invariant complex holomorphic function on $\mathbb{H}_g$ which is holomorphic at ∞. For genus ≥ 2 the condition, holomorphic at infinity, is automatically satisfied by Koecher principle. Trivializing ω on $\mathbb{H}_g$, complex modular forms of weight k are identified with holomorphic functions $f(\Omega)$ on $\mathbb{H}_g$, satisfying the transformation rule $f|[\gamma]_k = f$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma^P(n)$ where

$$f|[\gamma]_k(\Omega) = \eta(\gamma)^{gk/2} det(C.\Omega + D)^{-k} f(\gamma.\Omega).$$

Let l be a prime not dividing pn. To any Siegel modular form f of weight k and level n, one associates an irreducible admissible representation $\pi = \bigotimes \pi_\ell$ of $GSp(2g, \mathbb{A}_f)$ over $\mathbb{Q}_l$ [Ca]. This association is not unique, but we use it as a motivation to understand the notion of p-old form. Let U denote the open subgroup of $GSp(2g, \mathbb{A}_f)$ associated to the congruence group $\Gamma^P(n)$. If $(p, n) = 1$ and $\pi^U \neq 0$, then π_p is spherical, and it is the unique irreducible subquotient of some unramified principal series representation π_χ with respect to Borel subgroup $B(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. One can show that $\pi_\chi^{GSp(2g,\mathbb{Z}_p)}$ is one-dimensional. So the number of copies of modular forms with respect to $\Gamma^P(n)$ inside modular forms with respect to $\Gamma^P(pn)$ is equal to the dimension of $\pi_\chi^{\Gamma^P(p)}$. The mod-p Bruhat-Tits decomposition implies that, we have the following decomposition

$$GSp(2g, \mathbb{Q}_p) = \coprod_{\sigma \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g \subset W_g} B(\mathbb{Q}_p)\sigma\Gamma^P(p).$$

So to specify $f \in \pi_\chi^{\Gamma^P(p)}$ it is enough to specify it on elements $\sigma \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g$. Because $W_P \simeq S_g$ and the subgroup $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g \subset W_G$ is a complete set of representatives for $W_P \backslash W_G$. Therefore, the space of p-old forms on $\mathcal{A}_g^P(pn)$ consists of 2^g copies of forms on $\mathcal{A}_g^P(n)$. The vector space $\pi_\chi^{\Gamma^P(p)}$ has a basis consisting of functions $f_1, ..., f_{2^g}$ where f_i is supported on $B(\mathbb{Q}_p)\sigma_i\Gamma^P(p)$ and $f_i(\sigma_i) = 1$. The group of involutions $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g \subset W_G$ acts on the space of p-old forms by $f(z) \mapsto f^\sigma(z) := f(\sigma.z)$ for $\sigma \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g$.

Similar considerations show that we expect $g!2^g$ copies of forms on $\mathcal{A}_g^P(n)$ inside the space of p-old forms on $\mathcal{A}_g^{B,P}(p,n)$. Following Atkin-Lehner theory, we need a geometric characterization of the space of p-old forms.

2.4 Atkin-Lehner correspondences

Let $\pi_{T,P_I} : \mathcal{A}_g^T(p) \to \mathcal{A}_g^{P_I}(p)$ and $\pi_{P_I,P_J} : \mathcal{A}_g^{P_I}(p) \to \mathcal{A}_g^{P_J}(p)$ denote the natural projection maps induced by inclusions of the corresponding congruence groups. Pulling back forms from level n to level np using the natural projection map

$$\pi_n : \mathcal{A}_g^{B,P}(p,n) \to \mathcal{A}_g^P(n)$$

induces the first copy of p-old forms in $H^0(\mathcal{A}_g^{B,P}(p,n), \omega^{\otimes k})$. For simplicity, let us forget the auxiliary level structure and consider the projection

$$\pi_1 : \mathcal{A}_g^B(p) \to \mathcal{A}_g$$

and p-old forms in $H^0(\mathcal{A}_g^B(p), \omega^{\otimes k})$. At first glance, it seems that geometric correspondences of the form $D_B^\sigma(p) = \pi_{T,B*} v_p^{\sigma*} \pi_{T,B}^*$ should induce more copies of p-old Siegel forms on $\mathcal{A}_g^B(p)$ out of the pull-back copy.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{A}_g^T(p) \arrow[r, "v_p^\sigma"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{A}_g^T(p) \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{A}_g^B(p) & \mathcal{A}_g^B(p)
\end{tikzcd}$$

But $\pi_{T,B} \circ \pi_1$ commutes with v_p^σ for all $\sigma \in W_G$. Therefore, correspondences of above type generate the same copy as the pull back copy. To disturb the symmetry of the picture, we use Atkin-Lehner involution. $\pi_{T,B} \circ w_p^B \circ \pi_1$ no longer commutes with v_p^σ and we can hope that correspondences of the form $C_P^\sigma(p) = \pi_{T,B*} v_p^{\sigma*} \pi_{T,B}^* w_p^{B*}$ could generate more copies of p-old forms.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{A}_g^T(p) \arrow[r, "v_p^\sigma"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{A}_g^T(p) \arrow[d] & \\
\mathcal{A}_g^B(p) & \mathcal{A}_g^B(p) \arrow[r, "w_p^B"] & \mathcal{A}_g^B(p)
\end{tikzcd}$$

To generate p-old forms in $H^0(\mathcal{A}_g^B(p), \omega^{\otimes k})$ we should use $g!2^g$ correspondences $C_B^\sigma(p)$ for $\sigma \in W_G$.

Main Theorem 2.4 *The linear subspaces of $H^0(\mathcal{A}_g^{B,P}(p,n), \omega^{\otimes k})$ generated by Atkin-Lehner correspondences $C_B^\sigma(p)\pi_n^*$ where $C_B^\sigma(p)$ is defined by $\pi_{T,B*} v_p^{\sigma*} \pi_{T,B}^* w_p^{P*}$*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{A}_g^{T,P}(p,n) \arrow[r, "v_p^\sigma"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{A}_g^{T,P}(p,n) \arrow[d] & \\
\mathcal{A}_g^{B,P}(p,n) & \mathcal{A}_g^{B,P}(p,n) \arrow[r, "w_p^B"] & \mathcal{A}_g^{B,P}(p,n)
\end{tikzcd}$$

for σ *varying in* W_G *give* $g!2^g$ *linearly independent copies of* $H^0(\mathcal{A}_g^P(n), \omega^{\otimes k})$ *inside* p*-old forms of level* pn *living on* $\mathcal{A}_g^{B,P}(p,n)$*.*

The corresponding theorem for $H^0(\mathcal{A}_g^P(p), \omega^{\otimes k})$ can also be proved. However, in order to generate p-old forms in $H^0(\mathcal{A}_g^P(p), \omega^{\otimes k})$ we should divide the space of p-old forms on $\mathcal{A}_g^B(p)$ by the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2g} \subset W_G$.

Main theorem is proved in a few stages. In the first stage, we prove that Atkin-Lehner involution induces a second copy of level-n forms inside p-old part of level-np forms which has trivial intersection with the pull-back copy.

Proposition 2.5 $\pi^*_{B,P_I} H^0(\mathcal{A}_g^{P_I,P}(p,n), \omega^{\otimes k})$ *and* $w_p^{B*}\pi^*_{B,P_I} H^0(\mathcal{A}_g^{P_I,P}(p,n), \omega^{\otimes k})$ *as subspaces of* $H^0(\mathcal{A}_g^{B,P}(p,n), \omega^{\otimes k})$ *have trivial intersection.*

Proof. Let f and g be nonzero Siegel modular forms in $\pi^*_{B,P_I} H^0(\mathcal{A}_g^{P_I,P}(p,n), \omega^{\otimes k})$ with $w_p^{B*} f = g$. Since w_p^{B*} is an involution, $f \pm g$ are eigenforms of w_p^{B*} and the proposition follows from the following

Lemma 2.6 *Any Siegel form which is eigenform of* w_p^{B*} *on* $\mathcal{A}_g^{B,P}(p,n)$ *and also pull back of a Siegel form on* $\mathcal{A}_g^{P_I,P}(p,n)$ *vanishes if* $P_I \subsetneqq B$*.*

Proof. The zero locus of such an eigenform is pull back of the zero locus of a form living on $\mathcal{A}_g^{P_I,P}(p,n)$ and also w_p^{B*}-invariant. This contradicts density of Hecke orbit. □

In the second stage, we show that Atkin-Lehner correspondences induce $g!2^g$ non-intersecting copies of level-n forms inside p-old part of level-np forms.

Lemma 2.7 *Let* $D_B^\sigma(p) = \pi_{T,B_*} v_p^{\sigma *} \pi^*_{T,B}$ *where* $\pi_{T,B} : \mathcal{A}_g^{T,P}(p,n) \to \mathcal{A}_g^{B,P}(p,n)$ *is the natural projection. Then, for* $\sigma, \sigma' \in W_G$ *the correspondences* $D_B^\sigma(p) D_B^{\sigma'}(p)$ *and* $D_B^{\sigma\sigma'}(p)$ *acting on any linear subspace of* $H^0(\mathcal{A}_g^{B,P}(p,n), \omega^{\otimes k})$ *generate the same image subspaces.*

Proof. For simplicity, let us forget the auxiliary n-level structure. Then, lemma follows from commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{A}_g^T(p) \arrow[r, "v_p^\sigma"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{A}_g^T(p) \arrow[r, "v_p^{\sigma'}"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{A}_g^T(p) \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{A}_g^{\sigma\sigma' B \sigma'^{-1}\sigma^{-1}}(p) \arrow[r, "\sigma"] & \mathcal{A}_g^{\sigma' B \sigma'^{-1}}(p) \arrow[r, "\sigma'"] & \mathcal{A}_g^B(p)
\end{tikzcd}$$

and, $v_p^\sigma \circ v_p^{\sigma'} = v_p^{\sigma\sigma'}$ and $\sigma \circ \sigma' = \sigma\sigma'$ hold for $\sigma, \sigma' \in W_G$.□

Proposition 2.8 *Every two linear subspaces of* $H^0(\mathcal{A}_g^{B,P}(p,n), \omega^{\otimes k})$ *generated by correspondences* $C_B^\sigma(p)\pi_n^*$ *acting on* $H^0(\mathcal{A}_g^P(n), \omega^{\otimes k})$ *for* $\sigma \in W_G$ *have trivial intersection.*

Proof. By the previous lemma, it is enough to show that the linear subspaces generated by correspondences $\pi_{T,B_*}v_p^{\sigma*}\pi_{T,B}^*w_p^{B*}\pi_1^*$ and $w_p^{B*}\pi_1^*$ have such intersection. Suppose $\pi_{T,B_*}v_p^{\sigma*}\pi_{T,B}^*f = g$ for nonzero Siegel modular forms on $\mathcal{A}_g^B(p)$ which are elements of $w_p^{B*}\pi_n^*H^0(\mathcal{A}_g^P(n),\omega^{\otimes k})$. Let $d = deg(\pi_{T,B})$. Then $df \pm g$ are eigenforms of $\pi_{T,B_*}v_p^{\sigma*}\pi_{T,B}^*$ with eigenvalue $\pm d$. Now, the truth of proposition is a consequence of the first stage and the following

Lemma 2.9 *Any nonzero Siegel modular form on $\mathcal{A}_g^{B,P}(p,n)$ which is an eigenform of $\pi_{T,B_*}v_p^{\sigma*}\pi_{T,B}^*$ for a non-zero σ in W_G is pull back of a Siegel form on $\mathcal{A}_g^{P',P}(p,n)$ where P' is the parabolic subgroup generated by B and $\sigma B\sigma^{-1}$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of propositions 2.2 and 2.3. □

In the final stage we show that the above-mentioned $g!2^g$ copies of level-n Siegel forms inside the space of Siegel forms of level pn are indeed p-old forms of level-pn and they are linearly independent.

Proposition 2.10 *The Atkin-Lehner correspondences $C_B^\sigma(p)\pi_n^*$ commute with all Hecke correspondences which generate the local Hecke algebras H_q for q relatively prime to pn.*

Proof. The action of Atkin-Lehner correspondences can be interpreted in terms of the p-torsion of abelian varieties representing points of the moduli-space. By geometric base-change one can see that such an action commutes with those interpreted in terms of the q-torsion points.□

Proof of the main theorem. The $g!2^g$ copies of level-n Siegel forms induced by Atkin-Lehner correspondences are contained in the space of p-old forms by previous proposition. Recall that in this paper Siegel modular forms with eigenvalues repeated from lover levels are considered to be old. The space of Siegel forms on $\mathcal{A}_g^{B,P}(p,n)$ is finite-dimensional and has a basis of all prime to pn Hecke eigenforms. So is the case for any of the $g!2^g$ Atkin-Lehner copies, by previous proposition. Fix a basis for the space of Siegel forms of level pn whose elements are eigenforms of all prime to pn local Hecke algebras. Suppose the $g!2^g$ Atkin-Lehner copies are linearly dependent. It means that a non-zero eigenform f of all prime to pn local Hecke algebras is generated by some basis elements of the Atkin-Lehner copies which have the same eigenvalues. Consider the vector space V of all Siegel forms with the same Hecke eigenvalues f and consider the $g!2^g$ Atkin-Lehner copies in it. V is invariant under the action of all the $g!2^g$ correspondences $D_B^\sigma(p) = \pi_{T,B_*}v_p^{\sigma*}\pi_{T,B}^*$ for $\sigma \in W_G$, because these correspondences commute with the Atkin-Lehner copies. By this symmetry, $df + \pi_{T,P_*}v_p^{\sigma*}\pi_{T,P}^*f$ is also a Hecke eigenform in V with the same Hecke eigenvalues as f. There exists a $\sigma \in W_G$ which gives a nonzero Siegel form $df + \pi_{T,B_*}v_p^{\sigma*}\pi_{T,B}^*f$ generated by the Atkin-Lehner copies. But such a vector is a Siegel form which can be pulled back from a lower Siegel space by proposition 2.3. This contradicts linear dependency.□

Having constructed the Atkin-Lehner copies of p-old forms on $\mathcal{A}_g^{B,P}(p,n)$ one can get the 2^g copies of p-old forms on $\mathcal{A}_g^P(pn)$ by pushing forward all the $g!2^g$ p-old copies down to $\mathcal{A}_g^P(pn)$.

Theorem 2.11 *The linear subspaces of* $H^0(\mathcal{A}_g^P(pn), \omega^{\otimes k})$ *generated by Atkin-Lehner correspondences* $C_P^\sigma(p)\pi_n^*$ *for* σ *varying in* W_G *where* $C_P^\sigma(p)$ *is defined by*

$$\sum \pi_{T,P*} v_p^{\eta\sigma *} \pi_{T,B}^* w_p^{B*}$$

where the sum ranges over $\eta \in S_g \subset W_G$ *give* 2^g *linearly independent copies of* $H^0(\mathcal{A}_g^P(n), \omega^{\otimes k})$ *inside p-old forms of level pn living on* $\mathcal{A}_g^P(pn)$.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the main theorem and proposition 2.2. □

3 Congruences between old and new forms

We expect that the 2^g geometric correspondences we introduced, generate the whole space of p-old forms. But we can't prove it, since, neither we have a multiplicity one result available for Siegel modular forms, nor we have any general local theory of old forms developed for higher $GSp(2g)$. What we can do is to find congruences between the vector space generated by the Atkin-Lehner copies (which we artificially call "the space of p-old forms") and its orthogonal complement with respect to Weil-Peterson pairing ("new forms"). For this purpose we need an Ihara type result.

3.1 Ihara type result

Let us discuss models of the Siegel moduli spaces and Atkin-Lehner correspondences over $\mathbb{Z}[1/pn]$. $\mathcal{A}_g^T(pn)$ and $\mathcal{A}_g^P(pn)$ have natural models over $\mathbb{Z}[1/pn]$. Let $T(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ denote the diagonal subgroup of $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. The quotient $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})/T(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\mathcal{A}_g^T(pn)$ by acting on the n-level structures. The quotient $\Gamma^P(n)/\Gamma^T(n)$ is a subgroup of $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})/T(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. We can think of $\mathcal{A}_g^{T,P}(p,n)$ as equivariant etale quotient of $\mathcal{A}_g^T(pn)$ under the action of $\Gamma^P(n)/\Gamma^T(n)$. This action is defined over $\mathbb{Z}[1/pn]$. Therefore $\mathcal{A}_g^{T,P}(p,n)$ also has a natural model over $\mathbb{Z}[1/pn]$. Involutions v_p^σ which are defined in terms of the p-torsions of the universal variety can be extended to involutions of $\mathcal{A}_g^{T,P}(p,n)$ over $\mathbb{Z}[1/pn]$. This way we get a model for all Atkin-Lehner correspondences over $\mathbb{Z}[1/pn]$.

Let S be a scheme over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and $A \to S$ be a semi-abelian scheme over S. Frobenius morphism induces a homomorphism $Fr^* : e^*\Omega^1_{A/S} \to (e^*\Omega^1_{A/S})^{(q)}$, where e is the zero section and $(e^*\Omega^1_{A/S})^{(q)}$ is the same as $e^*\Omega^1_{A/S}$, except that the underlying $\mathcal{O}_S$-module structure is combined with Frobenius. Therefore we get a homomorphism $det(Fr^*) : \omega_{A/S} \to \omega_{A/S}^{\otimes q}$. Since $det({e^*\Omega^1_{A/S}}^{(q)}) = det(e^*\Omega^1_{A/S})^{\otimes q}$, over $\mathbb{F}_q$ this morphism corresponds to a section h of $\omega^{\otimes(q-1)}$. The section h is called the Hasse invariant.

Theorem 3.1 *Let* $q \leq k+1$ *be a prime number not dividing* $pn[\Gamma^P(p) : \Gamma^T(p)]$. *The linear subspaces of* $H^0(\mathcal{A}_g^P(pn)_{/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \omega^{\otimes k})$ *generated by Atkin-Lehner correspondences* $C_P^\sigma(p)\pi_n^*$ *for* σ *varying in* $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2g} \subset W_G$ *give* 2^g *linearly independent copies of* $H^0(\mathcal{A}_g^P(n)_{/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \omega^{\otimes k})$.

Proof. The three stages in the proof of main theorem work line by line because everything can be interpreted in terms of the torsions of the representing abelian varieties. One only misses the local Hecke algebra H_q. The following lemma needs more consideration.

Lemma 3.2 *Let q be a prime number not dividing $pn[\Gamma^B(p) : \Gamma^T(p)]$ where $q-1 \nmid k$. Any section of the Hodge bundle $\omega^{\otimes k}$ which is an eigenform of w_p^{B*} on $\mathcal{A}_g^{B,P}(p,n)_{/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q}$ and also pull back of a form on $\mathcal{A}_g^{P_I,P}(p,n)_{/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q}$ vanishes if $P_I \subsetneqq B$.*

Proof. We follow the idea of G. Pappas [Pa] to reduce this step to proving density of a Hecke orbit of the type discussed in [Ch]. The idea of using density results to get cokernel torsion-freeness results first appeared in [Di-Ta]. Let g be as such. The zero locus of g is w_p^{B*}-invariant. This contradicts density of the Hecke orbit of an ordinary point in the moduli space if the zero locus contain an ordinary point.

Now we show that if g vanishes only on non-ordinary points, then $k = m(q-1)$. There exists an embedding of $\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ in $\mathcal{A}_g^{B,P}(p,n)_{/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q}$ such that the restriction of ω to the embedded $\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ is $\mathcal{O}(q-1)$ (Look at [MB]). Therefore the tensor powers of the Hodge bundle are non-trivial. Let $S \subset \mathcal{A}_g^{B,P}(p,n)_{/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q}$ and $\bar{S} \subset \bar{\mathcal{A}}_g^{B,P*}(p,n)_{/\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q}$ denote the subschemes defined by $h = 0$ on the Siegel moduli space and its minimal compactification. The subscheme $\bar{S}$ is codimension one, because it does not contain any component of the boundary. Also $\bar{S}$ is the reduced Zariski closure of S [Ko]. S is geometrically irreducible [Oo] and so is $\bar{S}$. If g vanishes only on points of $\bar{S}$ then for some positive integer m we have g/h^m is a global nonzero section of $\omega^{\otimes k-m(q-1)}$. Existence of a nowhere vanishing modular form of nonzero weight $k-m(q-1)$ implies triviality of $\omega^{\otimes k-m(q-1)}$ and hence triviality of $\mathcal{O}(k-m(q-1))$ on the embedded $\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)$. So we get $k = m(q-1)$ and $g = \lambda h^m$ for some $\lambda \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$.$\square$

The above Ihara result can be used to obtain congruences between Siegel modular forms. The idea of using Ihara lemma to get congruences is due to Ribet [Ri]. We leave calculation of the congruence kernel to elsewhere.

Acknowledgements

For this research we have benefited from conversations with J. de Jong, A. Genestier, G. Pappas, A. Rajaei, P. Sarnak, C. Skinner, R. Takloo-bighash, J. Tilouine and A. Wiles. This is a polished version of an old article [Ra]. We shall thank A. Genestier and J. Tilouine heartily for many useful comments and questions on an early version which lead us to correction of many mistakes.

References

[Ca] Cartier, P.: *Representations of p-adic groups: a survey.* Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1, pp. 111–155, Proc.

Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.

[Ch] Chai, C.-L.: *Every ordinary symplectic isogeny class in positive characteristic is dense in the moduli.* Invent. Math. 121 (1995), no. 3, 439–479.

[Di] Diamond, F.: *Congruence primes for cusp forms of weight* $k \geq 2$. Courbes modulaires et courbes de Shimura (Orsay, 1987/1988). Astrisque No. 196-197 (1991), 6, 205–213 (1992).

[Di-Ta] Diamond, F. and Taylor, R.: *Nonoptimal levels of mod* l *modular representations.* Invent. Math. 115 (1994), no. 3, 435–462.

[DJ] De Jong, J.: *The moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties with* $\Gamma_0(p)$*-level structure.* J. Algebraic Geom.2(1993). no. 4. 667–688.

[MB] Moret-Bailly, L.: *Pinceax de variétés abéliennes.* Asterisque No. 129(1986).

[Oo] Oort, F.: *Moduli of abelian varieties in positive chracteristic.* Barsotti Symposium in Algebraic Geometry (abano Terme, 1991), 253-276, Perspect. Math. 15, Academic Press, San Diego, CA 1994.

[Fa-Ch] Faltings, G. and Chai C.: *Degeneration of Abelian Varieties*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete;3. Folge, ,Bd. 22.

[Hum] Humphreys, J. E.: *Linear algebraic groups.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 21. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1975. xiv+247 pp.

[Ko] Koblitz, N.: p*-adic variation of the zeta-function over families of varieties defined over finite fields.* Compositio Math. 31 (1975), no. 2, 119–218.

[Pa] Pappas, G.: personal communication.

[Ri] Ribet, K.A.: *Congruence relations between modular forms.* Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Warsaw, 1983), 503–514, PWN, Warsaw, 1984.

[Sh] Shimura, G.: *On modular correspondences for* $Sp(n, Z)$ *and their congruence relations.* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 49 1963 824–828.

Sharif University of Technology, e-mail: rastegar@sharif.edu
Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques, e-mail: rastegar@ihes.fr